

CITY OF LANSING

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
November 19, 2020

Call To Order:

The regular meeting of the Lansing City Council was called to order by Mayor Mike Smith at 7:00 p.m.

Roll Call:

Mayor Mike Smith called the roll and indicated which Councilmembers were in attendance.

Councilmembers Present:

Ward 1: Gene Kirby and Dave Trinkle

Ward 2: Don Studnicka and Marcus Majure

Ward 3: Jesse Garvey and Kerry Brungardt

Ward 4: Tony McNeill and Gregg Buehler

Councilmembers Absent:

OLD BUSINESS:

Approval of Minutes: Councilmember Brungardt moved to approve the regular meeting minutes of November 5, 2020, as presented. Councilmember Studnicka seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

Audience Participation: Mayor Smith called for audience participation and City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner read two letters from Lansing residents referencing mask wearing. Teena Barber encouraged the community to mask up so that the children in our community can continue to attend in school learning. The schools are doing an excellent job enforcing mask, social distancing, and cleaning but the entire community should be wearing masks for the safety of our students and everyone who lives here. Linda Finch stated there is no legitimate reason to not wear a mask and believes it might make a difference in everyone's lives.

- Councilmember Kirby asked if they were going to discuss this now or later in the meeting.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall responded he was going to propose closing City offices to the public with staff working as they normally do. The Community Center would close and the library to return to curbside service until further notice.
 - Councilmember Majure asked if it was possible to do minimal manning instead of closing the offices.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall replied that was done in the spring, but it hasn't been discussed this time.
 - Councilmember McNeill stated if we are serious about closing off the offices then we should consider a mandate for the city.
 - Councilmember Buehler asked how are we going to enforce a mask mandate.
 - Councilmember Brungardt responded most of our residents will comply with a mandate. There may be a small percentage that will refuse but if the majority are wearing a mask then the disease transmission lowers significantly.
 - Councilmember Garvey stated people will follow the rules and other won't, but he doesn't want to tie up law enforcement with trying to enforce the mandate and doesn't want them more in harm's way.
 - Councilmember Studnicka agreed we need to mandate mask wearing and just deal with it.
 - Councilmember Trinkle asked if anyone has spoken to Chief Wayman about the mandate.

- City Administrator Tim Vandall replied I spoke to him about it and we wouldn't go looking for violations. We would be happy if the majority of the community wears one.
 - Councilmember Kirby stated the school district has done an outstanding job. The COVID cases have been low within the schools. He continued that we were warned that if numbers continued to rise, they would go to full remote learning. So, he doesn't want any blame going towards the school district. He stated hospitals are full of patients. He thanked those who have sacrificed by staying home, doing more online shopping, not spent time with family and friends. He is proud the city has taken a stand tonight doing what is in the best interest of the city.
 - Councilmember Buehler stated if we are going to enforce a mask mandate then we can use the guidelines the state has set.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall asked the Council if they wanted something for the next Council meeting or sooner
 - Councilmember Buehler responded the state mandate will already be in effect by the next Council meeting. We need to get ahead of it.
 - City Attorney Greg Robinson suggested the Council reads the state guidelines along with the City of Leavenworth's guidelines and see if there is something in the middle that can be agreed on. The City of Leavenworth's has some exemptions.
 - Mayor Smith responded he understands wanting to get something done quickly but give Greg and Tim a few days to gather info and bring it forward.
 - City Attorney Greg Robinson stated it would probably take a special meeting because it will need to be done by ordinance.

Presentations

COUNCIL CONSIDERATION OF AGENDA ITEMS:

Electronic Sign Design: City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner presented and reviewed two electronic signage design options to the Governing Body to provide direction on.

- Mayor Smith asked gentlemen, questions.
 - Councilmember Trinkle replied first one.
 - Mayor Smith stated I'm good with the first one too. Anybody else. I can't see folks. Marcus
- Councilmember Majure asked it can't get any closer. I mean that's the only, remember when we talked about this before I made the comments about, we have these digital signs on post. They are facing a position where unless you are actually stopped at the stop sign, stoplight, whatever, you can't read them. You're not getting anything out of them. You just got a grand glorious sign. It's just a paperweight. Can that things get closer to the intersection cause it's so far away no one is going to even look at it.
 - City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner responded so the reason it's pushed back where it's at is that we do not own that. It's KDOT's right of way basically up to our parking lot. So, we are working with KDOT to see if there is anything, we can do to get it a little closer to the intersection. They don't like to give up right of way so I can't promise it's going to happen, but I know we are in discussion with them.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall replied I think what we were told recently was the process to request it is 2-3 months and even then, it's not guaranteed. Like we could be eating up 2-3 months asking them to put it in right of way and they could still deny it.
 - City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner responded stated absolutely.
 - Councilmember Majure responded yeah but I got to tell you it's set back and that kind of money and no one is going to see it and be able to use it because it's so far away. It defeats the purpose of even having it. Other than just having a big sign that says Lansing

because the marque is going to be so far away nobody is going to get anything out of it. I mean that is just my comment.

- Mayor Smith asked anybody else. Gregg go ahead.
 - Councilmember Buehler asked what size are the digital panels on the first one. It says on the second one how big they are but what size are they are on first one.
 - City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner replied I believe they are 4'x8'.
 - Councilmember Buehler responded ok I just couldn't tell. 4'x8' is pretty darn big.
 - Mayor Smith asked Tony did you have something.
 - Councilmember McNeill asked is there any other location we could put it. I guess would be the question that we own or have any kind of access to as far as right of way.
- City Administrator Tim Vandall replied the reason we started looking at this one is because we own the property obviously, it's close to the highway. What type of location were you thinking of.
- Mayor Smith stated I'll tell you one thing I was looking at where the mayor's sign is. I thought you could see it coming both ways right now but that is just a suggestion. I don't know if you can bring it down that far. Matt did you have something.
- Councilmember McNeill stated I just agree with Marcus and maybe it's just the picture when you superimpose in the grass there, it looks pretty small. It'll be pretty tough to read that far back.
- Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded it's hard to get scales just right when you're trying to put something in like that. I generated those this morning so the scale may be off just a hair. But as far as where the Mayor was talking about where the monument sign is at currently. That is in KDOT's right of way. We were allowed to do it because there's not electronic features to it. They have a pretty solid policy in place now.
- Mayor Smith asked what do you mean electronic features. Oh, the signals
 - Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied the boards that would light up. But they have a really solid, KDOT's got a solid strong policy in place now that they won't allow any kind of electronic boards like that in the right of way at all.
 - Mayor Smith stated I understand the concern Matt but when you're coming up instead of, it's like the one we use to have down at the prison. When you go by the prison, you could read it from a long distance off. I always thought that was the outlook we were going for but I could be wrong. Jesse, I missed you did you have a question.
- Councilmember Garvey stated I prefer number two. I like that sign better. It looks more modern but are these local companies. Who are we looking at hiring to do this project.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall replied one is from Leavenworth and one is from Shawnee.
 - City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner responded yeah, the Johnson County area. One is local and one isn't.
 - Councilmember Garvey asked which one is Leavenworth, 1 or 2.
 - City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner replied well I don't know if we can tell you that because it'll sway your decision potentially.
 - Councilmember Garvey responded it sure will because I want to shop local.

- City Administrator Tim Vandall stated I think it's ok to say it.
 - City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner stated design 2 is from the local company. So, Option B is the local company.
 - Councilmember Garvey stated then I stick with my original proposal.
 - Councilmember Buehler responded I agree with Jesse because another plus, those signs are just over 9' by just over 5'. So, it's a foot bigger on each side which means bigger letters, more visibility too.
 - Mayor Smith asked ok what's everybody's preference tonight. We'll get the sign first then we'll talk about the location. Anybody got any more questions. What's the preference
 - Councilmember Trinkle asked can it go somewhere in front of our Towne Center.
 - Mayor Smith replied Dave hold on a second. Let's see which one everyone wants first then we'll go back to that question. Jesse's got 2. Tony I can't see your hand. 2 ok. I see 2. I see 2. Dave what do you got. Gene what do you got. 2 ok.
 - Councilmember Trinkle responded whatever the rest of the fellows want.
 - Mayor Smith replied it's 2.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall stated if that is the case, we can probably have a motion.
 - City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner responded I would like a motion.
 - Councilmember Majure replied I really liked one because it was different, and it brought it more. Two is going to look like, if we're blowing Town Center up and having the businesses come in just for everybody to think about, 2 looks like something you see in a shopping center entrance. I mean it just has that appearance. Regardless of where we put it, I was kind of thinking we wanted something that really jumped out and not the norm. But I'll go with the group. If everyone is voting 2
 - Councilmember McNeill stated you can go with 1 Marcus. It's alright.
 - Mayor Smith replied Marcus I liked number 1 too. Listen I need a motion. Somebody give me a motion.

Councilmember Buehler moved to proceed with Design Option B. Councilmember Kirby seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

- City Administrator Tim Vandall stated I was just going to say too for the sake of the CARES Act fund getting it approved tonight was very important. Location, I mean we can try to get a better idea of the scale of the sign and report back. Do you have something you want to add Matt.
 - Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz added if I may, I don't want to overstep but I would suggest that we continue the discussion with KDOT. See if we can obtain some of that right of way so that we can get it closer to the highway. To your point, getting the option approved gets us to where we need to be with the CARES part. We can certainly wait to actually build it until after the first of the year if we need to. In order to figure out where the right of way can be so we can get it as close as possible to the highway. Obviously, it doesn't fall on deaf ears. We want it as close to the highway as we can get it as well but there is a little bit of a process that needs to be walked through in order to get there.
 - Mayor Smith asked ok is everybody ok with staff looking at other locations and working with KDOT to see what we can do. We got what we needed. Thumbs up everybody. Thank you, gentlemen.

Water Service Area Agreement: Councilmember Kirby moved to approve the Water Service Area Agreement. Councilmember Buehler seconded the motion.

- Councilmember McNeill stated I'm totally confused as to why we're even making this, why are we entering an agreement on who owns what water rights. What do we care?
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall replied because we were listed in the lawsuit.
 - Councilmember McNeill asked what's that.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall replied because we were listed in the lawsuit.
 - Councilmember McNeill asked and the lawsuit required that we have an agreement with two districts that goes into forever. I mean I don't get it. I read the whole thing. So, I read the whole thing from top to bottom. I understand that we signed the letter back when in support of Lan-Del. Right, because it had to do with the development of which we thought would be cheaper for the developer, correct.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall responded yes.
 - Councilmember McNeill asked ok. So why would we now enter in an agreement with two water districts about where their borders are. They know where their borders are.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall responded because we were named as a party in the lawsuit
 - Councilmember McNeill asked so did the lawsuit say that we had to come to an agreement.
 - Mayor Smith asked just a second. Greg was that you trying to chime in.
 - City Attorney Greg Robinson replied yes sir.
 - Mayor Smith stated go ahead.
 - City Attorney Greg Robinson stated Mr. Mayor, Tony the reason that as Tim has already indicated everyone knows we got dragged into this lawsuit. And the reason for us being dragged into the lawsuit was simply because the water district viewed our action as basically if you can think of in real estate terms that we clouded their title. We are asserting at least from their perspective that we somehow have appendaged favor leaning towards another unit or entity owning or having control of that area. So therefore, they dragged us into this lawsuit. The actual water district entities figured it out amongst themselves and as part of the agreement, we simply agreed that one, we would rescind our previous resolution that we supported that. Frankly, I don't think we are wrong in doing so but once you are dragged into the litigation. Once they figured out who under the federal rules of these water districts, they figured it out or had their own agreement, we really as a non-entity, non-party to this simply had to, it's house cleaning. That is all this is, really house cleaning.
 - Councilmember McNeill responded rescinding the letter I got no problem with. I'm talking about the other agreement which has us as a party to two water districts. The water district that is in the county, the county commission isn't upholding to this. They not agreeing that border, excuse me.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall replied Consolidated is partially in Lansing.
 - Councilmember McNeill responded I understand that, but they are also partially in the county, right. They are a county entity. That property is township which belongs to county.
 - City Attorney Greg Robinson replied right.
 - Councilmember McNeill asked so why is the county not part of it as well.

- City Administrator Tim Vandall County responded they didn't pass a resolution standing up for Lan-Del.
 - Councilmember McNeill replied right but we can rescind our resolution.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall stated I think we're going to stay in the lawsuit if we don't do this.
 - Councilmember McNeill asked what's that.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall stated we're going to stay in the lawsuit if we don't rescind that.
 - Councilmember McNeill asked I thought they already agreed to their borders.
 - Mayor Smith responded hold on just a second. Kerry what did you have to say.
 - Councilmember Brungardt stated I like the term house cleaning if it's truly just that and it gets us out of the lawsuit and there is no harm done. Then let's do it. I fail to see why this is a big deal.
 - Councilmember McNeill replied well Kerry because we are signing up yet again to another legal document agreement between three parties that includes two entities of which belong to Kansas laws that we are going to get dragged into over whatever. I mean it doesn't make sense that we have to be party to those two agreeing to their borders. That's fine, agree to your borders.
 - Councilmember Brungardt responded it's my understanding that we're really a third party. Would that be correct.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall replied I would say that is accurate Kerry.
 - Councilmember Brungardt stated so we're probably, we're such a minor player in this that is why I didn't think it was a big deal to go along with this. That was my understanding but maybe I don't have it right.
 - City Attorney Greg Robinson responded now if I can interject, I can see where Mr. McNeill is coming from. I certainly understand his position in the sense that and I think Tim would agree with this statement I'm about to make. We always argued strenuously that we should have never been brought into this but once you are brought in, you still have to navigate the litigation. The fact that this letter was there, that was kind of the velcro that got us hooked in. Again, we really had no dog in the fight about the boundaries because the boundaries were convoluted. Because as Tim can tell you all the descriptions that are on the website even, it was very convoluted as to owned what, who, what, where and who controlled areas. So, what this does is, all we are doing is acknowledging that in the future that they've agreed what that boundary is so they should probably do all the updating on all their websites and all that. So, there is no more confusion for anybody in our area that may future develop in our area. So, by just agreeing to this and signing off on it is just terminating that litigation. And it did like you said Mr. McNeill they have agreed to their own territory, but we are also acknowledging that, so that in the future there is no misunderstanding about what these territories are.
- Mayor Smith asked ok, Gregg is that you. Go ahead Gregg.
 - Councilmember Buehler asked what happens if we don't.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall responded we stay in the lawsuit.
 - Councilmember Buehler asked so they are going to sue us for what. For water rights that we don't own.
 - City Attorney Greg Robinson replied Mr. Buehler I know I was not the attorney that handled this. It was Mr. Barrett with the insurance firm but it's my understanding since we are a party, if we don't sign off, the litigation is still not finalized. So therefore, the water district

may continue on with it then incurring more legal expenses and cost and fees just trying to uncloud their title by them saying what we did. Again, Tim and I both agree very strenuously that we should have never been dragged into this based on the actions of this body. However, we were, and we had to deal with it. So, this is a way to basically, the dust is settled, they have agreed to their territories. We're just basically saying we rescind the letter, and we agree that now these two parties have finalized their own territory jurisdiction so there is no more looking at their website or that website. Trying to figure out who services whom, that kind of thing. To me this is a house cleaning, it cleans it up, unclouds the title if you think of this as a real estate deed or something like that.

- Mayor Smith stated ok Marcus you had something
 - Councilmember Majure stated I was trying, I've read this back and forth and what I'm trying to figure out is are we going to talk about the City of Lansing, what are we talking about is going to be affected by these borders? Are we talking some of our city residents and neighborhoods are going to fall under a different water district now? Because I am trying to look at this, I'm trying to figure out how much does it affect the City of Lansing residents in the Wards we represent. I'm trying to make out from this map and it's really hard.
 - Mayor Smith replied hold on. Tim go ahead.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall stated if a person already has a water service provider they wouldn't be changing. They did try to acknowledge people who are in one service territory but are serviced by the other provider. I think that is one of things that led to this. What I was told was thirty years ago I think there were a lot of your water line is here, you service that property. Your water line is here, you service that property. Thirty years later that kind of came back to bite everybody in the butt.
 - Mayor Smith responded Marcus we do have people being serviced besides Landel in Lansing as it is. This is between them. I totally agree, I understand what Tony is trying to say and I don't mean to rush you but if we can get out of a lawsuit, if we can back out of something we didn't want to be part of anyway, why wouldn't we. Jesse did you have something.
 - Councilmember Garvey replied correct me if I am wrong in this thinking, it's almost like we stepped on their toes by signing this letter backing up Landel. For us to get our foot off of their toes we have to do this and say we're sorry. And that is all we're

doing. I mean the water division is those two parties and they've already agreed to that. So, all we're saying is hey, we did this now we're sorry, we are going to back out and let you guys handle where the dividing line is.

- Mayor Smith stated you know Jesse, whether there is a right or wrong, I think Lan-Del always wanted to be the provider. Basically, what you're saying is exactly right, we're just trying to, we want to back out of it. We really do. Dave did you have something?
 - Councilmember Trinkle replied no.
- City Attorney Greg Robinson stated Mr. Mayor if I can just address Mr. Majure's comment just to allay any fears that he might have. The water districts and water purveyance are controlled by federal law and I think this happened and maybe even Mr. Brungardt can even speak to this, I know some of you can. Is that when the city expands and starts to encroach on rural water districts because you're a jurisdiction or municipality. We don't own our water, if we did there are mechanisms in place where you can overtake or basically, absorb these water districts as your cities expand. So that way they become your residential customers and all that. However, the law is clear you must compensate the folks or the districts for their lines in the ground and there are all these other factors that come into play when you absorb or take over a rural water district. You know whatever entity that is doing that. Anyway, I just wanted to point that out, so you didn't think that forever and ever the City of Lansing as it expands somehow always is going to be controlled until the end of time.
 - Mayor Smith responded you know Greg; agreements can be made. We made an agreement with Bernard Park. They worked something out where we got the water. I mean it's possible. We need to get out of this lawsuit.
 - City Attorney Greg Robinson replied and that is true, but I think Mike, didn't we do this for the school district when we moved out?
- Mayor Smith responded we had worked a couple of times. This isn't a first. Is there anymore discussion on this not to repeat itself.
 - Councilmember Trinkle asked I got one more question. If this is shutting down the boundary between Lan-Del and the other rural water district, what if Lansing annexes more ground south. Are we still going to have two water districts in the City of Lansing?
 - Mayor Smith replied Dave some of that right now is in Lansing. That water district serves part of Lansing right now.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall responded Consolidated services McIntyre; they might service the Industrial Park on Gilman.
 - Mayor Smith stated they do. They are already servicing it.
 - Councilmember Trinkle asked we could still have two water districts in the same city.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall replied yes.
 - Mayor Smith replied and that is common. Unless you own that is very common.
 - City Administrator Tim Vandall stated it's just that specific property that sparked it and Lan-Del thought it was theirs and Consolidated thought it was theirs. They went through the legal process and it appears it's Consolidated's.

The motion was approved with Councilmember McNeill and Studnicka voting against the motion.

Resolution No. B-9-2020: Councilmember McNeill moved to approve Resolution No. B-9-2020. Councilmember Garvey seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

City/State Agreement KA-5575-01 – K7 & Eisenhower Intersection: Councilmember McNeill moved to approve the City/State Agreement between Lansing and KDOT for Project No. 52 KS-5575-01. Councilmember Buehler seconded the motion.

- Councilmember McNeill stated I had one question on the six-month time frame Mike. They were going to start in January?
 - Public Works Director Mike Spickelmier replied there is a long lead time on the purchase of the arms that hold up the lights. It's a six to nine-month lead time. We've actually approached KDOT and we've had discussions with the City of Leavenworth about trying to accelerate that outside of the project. So maybe we can order those now while they finish up design so we can concurrently be, I guess order manufacture put the lights in the que to maybe accelerate the bid. That's just a nuance of the project. It doesn't really affect the fact that we need to sign the agreement but it's one of those things that we want to make sure; we're just working to try to accelerate the project as much as we can.
 - Councilmember McNeill responded right so, it's not really a case of KDOT, later even though it says it in there, they have the right to say hey if it took longer than six months we don't have to give you the money. I was just wondering about everything that is going on COVID and shipping, heck you can barely order an appliance today. I don't know what we are ordering for this, but it sounds like we are ahead of the game trying to order the lights and things. I just wondered about that six-month time frame and whether or not that impacted it.
 - Public Works Director Mike Spickelmier stated you know we still follow the rules as anybody else. I think KDOT has been very cooperative on this project. They want to see success as much as we do. I'm not overly concerned about the risk.
 - Councilmember McNeill stated ok that is all I had. Thanks Mike.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Approval of Drive-thru Restaurant in Lansing Towne Center: Councilmember Brungardt moved to approve the request to locate a drive-thru restaurant in Lansing Towne Center. Councilmember McNeill seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

- Mayor Smith stated good things happening in Lansing. This is excellent.

Executive Session – Acquisition of Real Estate: Councilmember Brungardt moved to recess into executive session for the preliminary discussion of the acquisition of real property pursuant to K.S.A. 75-4319(b)(6) for 15 minutes, beginning at 7:54PM and returning to the Council Chambers at 8:09PM. Councilmember Buehler seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

Councilmember Buehler moved to return to open session at 8:09PM. Councilmember Garvey seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

REPORTS:

Department Heads: Public Works Director Mike Spickelmier and Street Superintendent Jeff Focht let the Council know the 1992 Ford dump truck needs some extensive repairs. Due to the dollar amount of repairs, they are looking into a used replacement. There are funds available to purchase a used model.

- Councilmember Kirby stated he has brought up having an in-house mechanic before.
 - Street Superintendent Jeff Focht replied they do as much in-house as they can.

City Attorney: City Attorney Greg Robinson had nothing to report.

City Engineer: City Engineer Matt Harding had nothing to report.

City Administrator: City Administrator Tim Vandall stated there has been quite a bit of interest in the Towne Center property since they City took ownership. He is wondering if we could get a City Council sub committee to go over the interest, concept plans and design standards. Otherwise we'd need an executive session on a regular basis. Several Councilmembers agreed to make up the committee. The court date for the Court of Appeals regarding the lawsuit that Delaware and High Prairie brought against us is set for January 13th.

Governing Body: Councilmember McNeill stated he appreciates this Council a lot. We have different topics and different opinions, but we come together and do the right thing.

Councilmember Brungardt agreed it's nice we can disagree, discuss, and get things accomplished. This is the way government is supposed to work.

Councilmember Studnicka stated he is ready to get the mask mandate on the move.

Councilmember Trinkle stated let's keep on being safe. He wished everyone Happy Holidays.

Councilmember Kirby echoed everyone sentiments. He also mentioned in regard to COVID and the masks, lead, follow or get out of the way. Also, the right things to do is not always the easiest thing to do but it's still the right thing to do.

Councilmember Majure agreed it's time to get the mask thing going. He's excited about all the hard work that has been put in on the sign. The new car wash is moving along. He's ready to get some businesses in Towne Center and told the City staff great job on it. He thanked the ladies who wrote in about the mask mandate.

Councilmember Garvey agreed the Council doesn't always see eye to eye but they all look out for what is best for Lansing residents. He said pray for a cure for this virus so we can all get on with our normal lives.

Councilmember Buehler asked what the process would be to fill the vacancy for Ward 4 in January.

- City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner stated an announcement will go out for it around the end of the year since we can't fill the spot until it's actually vacated.

He also agreed the Council and staff work really well together and he appreciates everyone who gives their time to the City. Councilmember Buehler also provided a fun fact, on this day in 1863, President Abraham Lincoln delivered the Gettysburg address at the dedication ceremony in Gettysburg, Pennsylvania.

Councilmember McNeill stated he likes Ms. Huggins passion and its important that citizens come in. We might not always agree with them because the Council has to look at what's best for the entire city but it's important citizens express their opinion.

ADJOURNMENT:

Councilmember Buehler moved to adjourn. Councilmember Garvey seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved. The meeting was adjourned at 8:23 p.m.

ATTEST:

Michael W. Smith, Mayor

Sarah Bodensteiner, City Clerk