

# CITY OF LANSING

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES  
November 4, 2021

### Call To Order:

The regular meeting of the Lansing City Council was called to order by Mayor McNeill at 7:00 p.m.

### Roll Call:

Mayor McNeill called the roll and indicated which Councilmembers were in attendance.

### Councilmembers Present:

**Ward 1:** Gene Kirby

**Ward 2:** Don Studnicka and Marcus Majure

**Ward 3:** Jesse Garvey and Kerry Brungardt

**Ward 4:** Ron Dixon and Gregg Buehler

**Councilmembers Absent:** Dave Trinkle

## OLD BUSINESS:

**Approval of Minutes:** Councilmember Buehler moved to approve the Regular Meeting Minutes of October 21, 2021, as presented. Councilmember Garvey seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

**Audience Participation:** Mayor McNeill called for audience participation on an item not on the agenda and there was none.

### Presentations

### COUNCIL CONSIDERATION OF AGENDA ITEMS:

**City Park Design Concept:** Parks and Recreation Director Jason Crum stated everybody I got the Project Manager Larry Reynolds here tonight from Vireo. He is going to lead the charge. Jeff Bartley is here from Waters Edge to talk about splash. I will turn it over to them.

- Larry Reynolds stated good evening. Thank you all for having us here tonight. We're excited to share what we've put together for City Park splash pad. We've spent some time now working with Jason and his staff developing concepts. We've had a number of discussions. We've developed a couple of site plan concepts and presented them to a steering committee who selected a preferred concept. We then went out and visited a couple of other municipalities with splash pads to see and compare a couple of different approaches they've taken with Jason and his staff and Mr. Vandall. So tonight, I am going to start with sharing a Site Plan Concept and then Jeff is going to talk more specifically about the details of the splash pad itself. Then we'll kind of give an idea of where we think we are right now with cost for City Park. And we'll also kind of give an update on where we're at on Bernard Park so you can see those in relation to each other. We're still in the construction design phase of Bernard Park so we're not finished yet but we wanted to get here and show you where we're at with City Park so we can keep moving on that if possible. But let you know where we are in relation to Bernard Park and both projects. So that is what we are here to show you tonight. The Site Plan, a few things I wanted to show. The Master Plan really got in a lot of our design work on this. It includes part of phase one where we are really looking at this central area right here. The walks, splash pad, some shade structures around it and a couple of picnic shelters. The other items around this loop trail, sidewalk, future playground, future parking expansion are not part of cost and what we've been designing so far. We want to design with plan and the end goal in mind with respect to the Master Plan that you had done recently. So, as I said, the splash pad is centrally located. We also have a flood plain we need to be mindful of. That runs right through here. This existing restroom and concession building, we wanted to be fairly close to it. We feel like we can repurpose the concessions piece of that to serve as a pump room for a recirculating pump system. Then thinking again about the future playground over here. We do intend for there to be a fence around at least this side of the splash pad. Not necessarily fully enclosing that whole space but over here to prevent some movement into pedestrians and vehicles. We want to kind of keep those separate but not feel like you are locked in. I'll zoom in a little bit. These are some of the things we are thinking. Again, splash pad, the cantilever shade structures would be around the side of splash pad with lots of opportunities for seating. Centrally located then between the splash pad and the playground would be picnic shelters similar to what I've shown

here. Then we have some 3D views to kind of give you an idea of what that looks like in real life. Again, future parking behind. So, the first phase would be this walk that comes in, connects you into the splash pad and over here underneath the picnic shelters. Jeff is going to talk a little bit more about details of the splash pad itself and the features in there and some of the things we considered as we went along.

- Good evening, my name is Jeff Bartley, and my role is to design, the engineering design of the splash pad. So, I worked with Larry and your committee to get to this point for your splash pad. This is kind of the zoomed in, birds eye view of what the splash pad would look like. These are the features that are planned for it. So, the way, working with your group, the way we wanted to organize this splash pad was to make it kind of start with subtle features, focus on families with smaller toddlers and then progress the rest of splash pad to bigger features, bigger dumping water and stuff that would be more for bigger kids or adults. So that is how it was organized. Starting up here kind of on the north end of that this is that toddler zone of sorts where we have and you can see the corresponding, these are the features, and they are keynoted. These are pictures of those splash pad features. Up here in the toddler zone we have planned this water table up in that area so it's an area where water is pumped into this device, and it flows down through these steppers on the table. The kids can stand there and play with water on the table. We have a line of these vertical screens or jets. We have some bubblers in this location there. So, it's just frothy water gurgling out of the ground. As we continue to go south, the other features start to get a little taller so then we have some of these zoned where these features are just a little bit taller than the next phase there. This one is a ring that is about 2-3 feet tall. We have this kind of bamboo stems that stand a little taller and spray water on kids. Then as we go again to the south some taller sprays and then at the end, they kind of center at this really large flash flood so it's a dumping water feature. So water fills that bucket continuously and every so many minutes it dumps it, and dumps water on whoever is underneath it. So that is the plan. Here is a rendering perspective of that and you can see again. We have more of the quiet toddler zone moving into the taller more active spaces. We talked about a variety of types of features and the types of surfacing we might put on the splash pad. A very important part of this process was to focus on things that are more manageable in terms of maintenance. So as a group we focused on features that are not climbable or things that can be damaged or vandalized. With that then we don't need fall zone, soft surfacing. You see a lot of that on playgrounds, you also see that on splash pads but on splash pads it tends to be a lot more maintenance. You start to mix that with chlorinated water. So, everything we are showing you is on the surface a broom finished surface nonskid concrete that is non slippery, so kids won't slip and hit their head. It's not an expensive material that you would have to replace or maintain. The other big topic for the group was what kind of system to use for the water. There are two primary options. One is single pass where you hook these features up to city tap water. We have valves that turn it on, and water comes out of the spray features. It's collected in a drain then goes away to waste back to your sewer plant. The other option is to have a storage tank where we treat the water, recycle it and filter it, keep it clean. We use that for the spray features. So, we looked at those two options and they each have pluses and minuses to them. So, for this plan we are proposing it would be a filtered and treated system and there are some reasons for that. Some points about that with that treated system it does require staff involvement. Your staff has to take care of this system and the water and make sure it has the proper chlorine in it, its sanitary and stays clean. So, it does require that. We've assumed for this splash pad it might take 10-15 hours per week for your staff to take care of this splash pad. So that is a significant investment in time for somebody to do that. We estimate that the operating cost for that when you add up the big cost items on this are staff time along with some electricity. Those are primarily the big costs and it's about \$10-15,000 per season by our estimate. Compare that with the single pass system, it reduces the construction costs because they're not building the filters and the system that treats the water so you can reduce that initial capital cost. You can use a lot of water through the season. So that is the comparison. The recirculated system is cost and labor and the initial equipment. The single pass system is the cost of water being used. Those are the primary things. For the splash pad you could use a significant amount of water through the summer. By the splash pad we've shown you it could be as much as \$40,000 a season to operate and the vast majority of that is the cost of the water. On the opposite side of that, it's

less staff time. The staff doesn't have to manage that water and make sure its clean. It's simply turn the spicket on and its going.

- Mayor McNeill stated I have a question. So, if staff goes and tests the water, it's not good, how much does that add to the down time to the splash pad over that same period of time.
  - Jeff Bartley replied if they test it and something is wrong, its out of balance or it doesn't have chlorine in it, you shouldn't operate it until it gets up to speed. The good thing about the system, it's not a huge system so you should be able to turn that around. So, if it doesn't have chlorine in it, you should be able to get chlorine in the system real quickly. I wouldn't expect this to be, if your staff shows up, something is wrong I wouldn't expect you to be down for the day. I would anticipate they would manage that and get it up and going in a couple of hours. We also plan to have systems in place. I think it's important to have, we'll have controllers, boxes that basically sample the water and test for the chlorine and test for the ph. Those are the primary factors we are looking at. It'll test those and it'll automatically fed those chemicals in to maintain that. So really your staff is cross checking that and double checking that system. We want that system to have some connectivity so if something goes down it doesn't wait until your guy goes out there and measures it. You'll get notified and know there is a problem. They can go out and deal with it.
  - Councilmember Garvey asked the recirculate system. Do you ever have to just completely dump the system and start with fresh water.
    - Jeff Bartley replied yes. There are times when you have to do that. The system we are planning, I believe it's about 2,500 gallons. So worst case scenario, you dump the 2,500 gallons and refill.
      - Councilmember Garvey asked how often do you have to dump the system and start fresh.
        - Jeff Bartley responded you shouldn't have to through the season unless something goes wrong. I wouldn't anticipate that to be a common occurrence. The only caveat to that is if it is so wildly popular and it just gets hammered with people. Then its going to take a lot more effort and you might have instances where the best scenario is to do that, dump it to start fresh.
          - Councilmember Brungardt stated Club Car Wash, they recirculate.
            - Jeff Bartley asked the what.
          - Councilmember Brungardt responded Club Car Wash. They recirculate.
        - Councilmember Garvey stated I think they do.
      - Councilmember Brungardt stated to me, I look at that \$40,000 per season, that is significant.
    - Councilmember Buehler responded you make up that \$110,00 with the difference in just a couple of years.
  - Jeff Bartley replied you do. In fact, jumping ahead, as part of the process we did the life cycle analysis on this and compared, this is actually a comparison of project costs. So, we have factored in the cost of equipment plus the operating costs. You can see these two lines that we are comparing. This is our projected costs. So, we did this early on before we knew what the splash pad was, but this is the gallons per minute. The amount of water we are pumping through the features and then the cost. So, you can see when it's down, when it's a really low amount of water spraying up obviously the cost of that water is lower. It

makes more sense to have a single pass system. As you start to pump more water through these features then you can start to separate and, in this case, this is the range we're looking at. You can see it's at least twice the amount of cost to do a single pass over the filtered system. It has a lot of assumptions in it. These slides here you can study those, but these include the assumptions we put in that. This is a list of those spray features. They have ranges for what the flow rates are for those. So, for example, what we are looking at if all those features are running at the same time max flow it can be 290 gallons per minute. You aren't going to do that on a single pass system so there are things you can do to sequence those things. So put a computer control to those so we can sequence them so they're not all running at the same time. A certain portion of them are running at one time. Then the splash pad itself will have an activation so it's not running if no one is out there at the park. So, someone will activate it and then it will go through its cycle. So, with those factors we kind of cycle that down and for our evaluation we estimated about 110, 113 gallons per minute through the course of the season. And then when you start to talk about the season that plays a big factor. The longer the season is, the more expensive it is. The more benefit the community gets so we made the assumption for this analysis the splash pad would run ten hours a day and it would be about 105 days open but more like 120-day season, but you lose some days because of weather. So about 105 days of actual operating. So those are the factors that go in there. The cost of your water is significant so that is what makes the single pass option more expensive.

- Councilmember Garvey asked what is the typical life span of one of these pumps.
  - Jeff Bartley responded the pumps themselves will be 10-15 years.
- Councilmember Brungardt stated now Jason I don't want to speak for you so correct me if I am wrong. I was a member of the committee. One of Jason's concerns was staffing. We've talked about fifteen hours of time that's when you are on site. That's not if you are called away from the job and have to travel, time. I mean we need to consider all those factors. You indicated you're busy and this is going to be open during your busiest time of year. Correct.
  - Parks & Recreation Director Jason Crum replied that is correct.
    - Councilmember Brungardt responded I think as a Council we need to be aware of that as we make that decision.
      - Councilmember Kirby responded that's two days of mowing.
        - Parks & Recreation Director Jason Crum stated well some of the other things we learned along the way too, we didn't necessarily know, or we didn't talk about in with the committee we knew, we got to think this is going to be Saturdays, Sundays, holidays as well. So, you know if everybody comes out if it's going to be opened every day. Something else we learned on the tour was, in my head this was kind of like a daily check thing for staff but that is not necessarily the case. This is more like an every four hours kind of check for staff. Correct.
          - Jeff Bartley replied it could be, part of it. You know you'd like to think the system that is sampling it is doing a good job and cross checking it and you get some comfort in that and then you don't have to check it as much. Health departments are starting to scrutinize these more and if the health department really

scrutinizes it and they want you to do it to the point that it's like a swimming pool and you get into you need to check it, manually sample it once every four hours or so. I don't think you are there right now but that is certainly a possibility in the future.

- Parks & Recreation Director Jason Crum stated just out of curiosity I checked with the City of Leavenworth and that is what they're doing. We're talking about pools vs splash now every four hours. I don't know if that is mandated by the County or anything at this point in time.
  - Councilmember Brungardt stated I was in Hutchison at their splash pad, saw some guy there checking on water, he was a worker. He was checking on it and I asked him so how does this work, is it time intensive. He said yeah, some days it is and some days it works like a charm. He spoke a lot about use, like how many kids they have. That particular day there was a ton of kids there. He said this is my second time out here and it was about three in the afternoon.
- Parks & Recreation Director Jason Crum responded I'm glad you asked that. I wanted to make sure everyone understood what we were talking about as far as staff time.
- Councilmember Dixon asked the other areas that have these splash pads, have they shown a preference for which system they prefer.
  - Jeff Bartley replied well I don't think there is a staff department anywhere that wouldn't prefer the single pass. Just because it is simple and its bullet proof.
  - Mayor McNeill stated it's a lot of water.
- Jeff Bartley responded but then you're weighing that against the amount of water. So, the deal is when you create a significant splash pad, it creates a dynamic effect that people are excited about that ends up using a lot of water and it just makes it more expensive.
  - City Administrator Tim Vandall stated we toured the splash pad in Kearney, Missouri and they have the single pass system. The thing that guy touched on was they owned their water utilities. So, they weren't paying that \$38-40,000 a year. Whereas since we don't own our water utility that would be expenses 100%. That was interesting when we had spoken to those guys. That was a big thing when they made their decision. They owned that utility.
- Larry Reynolds stated so a little update on where we are at cost wise. We'll start with City Park. Again, we're still at the Concept Design phase. We're right at that \$1.3 million with \$665,000, about half of it is just the splash pad itself. Add pumps and everything

that goes with that, site work, picnic shelters and whatnot are the other half about \$400,000. Some additional things that would be nice to do, some of the perimeter things we showed, the loop trail, the parking lot, playground, whatnot. Those would total about \$465,000.

- Councilmember Majure stated we could work with you guys on the actual loop trail itself though couldn't we. We could work on the design, maybe get it out to a quarter mile, maybe outside, inside, wind up being a half a mile loop trail where we have a lot of people exercising or walking, biking, jogging or whatever on that thing.
  - Larry Reynold responded right and you really kind of have it on there already as it is. It's not the perfect loop or oval shape but you have one there that would serve for at least some time and connect into the splash pad.
    - City Administrator Tim Vandall responded one of the things the committee really liked about that trail too is we felt like there would be less shenanigans if people are constantly walking around that trail. The splash pad is kind of off the beaten path a little bit, but I mean if kids go there thinking they are going to be damaging something or vandalize something and they see adults using that trail they're not going to do it. So that was one of things the committee really liked about that.
      - Larry Reynolds replied they like to come to the loop trail, watch their kids as they are playing. So, in relationship to Bernard Park, right now with Bernard Park we feel like we are at about \$5.3 million so total we're at \$6.6 million. We're still working on Bernard Park drawings as I said earlier. We don't have our final number but that is kind of a take of where we are right now. I wanted to let you know where we feel like we are at. It's above what was in the Master Plan. So, if there are concerns, we kind of want to know. But again, we need to finish our design we're working on for Bernard Park.
        - Councilmember Brungardt asked can you go back for a minute.
          - Larry Reynolds replied sure.
            - Councilmember Brungardt asked how much was in the budget.
              - Larry Reynolds replied \$5 million was the Master Plan budget.
                - Councilmember Buehler asked is that total.
                - Larry Reynolds responded it was the total for both.
              - Councilmember Kirby asked what would happen with security and the trail and everything. The existing trail that is there to me is kind of a long way away.
                - Larry Reynolds asked on which part. On City Park.
            - Councilmember Kirby stated yeah, if you go back to that I'd appreciate it.
              - Larry Reynolds responded sure. There we go.
                - Councilmember Kirby stated the existing trail was clear up there at the top.
            - Councilmember Garvey responded you can see the trail yeah.
      - Councilmember Kirby stated when you talk about having people there to keep the mischief down. It'll be a long way from there if they are up there on that north end. For \$55,000 roughly.
        - Larry Reynolds responded there are some other things that go along with it too. Putting this in, fits right in between a couple of fields. It clips this one off, we have some demolition accounted for in there but there is a lot that is going to be removed; you have to kind of think about too, once all that is removed what does it look like and how is it accessible to your patrons?

- Councilmember Kirby stated it might be more preferred by older folks as opposed to walking the current one and then you're drawing a crowd closer to where all the action is going on.
  - Councilmember Majure stated see how close you are. What I was looking at to see how close you are at a quarter mile.
    - Parks & Recreation Director Jason Crum responded yeah right.
      - Councilmember Majure replied we could easily make that a quarter mile, see what I am saying.
        - Councilmember Kirby stated I just think to me it makes more sense to have that one put in now and have those people that are walking be more visible to the kids as opposed to something way up in that north corner.
          - Councilmember Brungardt asked so Jason can you tell me, I'm not following. Sorry.
            - Larry Reynold responded so you are saying it would be nice to install this as part of Phase 1 rather than have to rely on existing.
              - Councilmember Brungardt stated ok I see now.
- Councilmember Majure responded yeah and the way you've got it looped, you come back around you know what I am saying so with the existing they're on this side of the pad as well. I mean they could go either or is what I am saying if you had the extra. So, they are constantly around that splash pad.
  - Larry Reynold replied right, absolutely.
- Councilmember Kirby stated the closer we can get those people to the splash pad, the less likely to have issues.
- Larry Reynolds responded so this can scrunch down, and this can scoot out a little bit. We can massage that. We do want to be mindful of, is that gas or sewer?
  - Parks & Recreation Director Jason Crum replied sewer.
- Larry Reynolds stated sewer that runs through there. Certainly.
- Councilmember Garvey asked what will the middle of the walking trail be, just grass.
  - Larry Reynolds asked in here.
    - Councilmember Garvey asked inside the loop, between the trail.
      - Larry Reynolds replied oh yeah, grass.
        - Councilmember Garvey stated grass, that is what I thought. There wouldn't be any obstructions if you're looking back toward the splash pad.
          - Larry Reynolds replied open green space.
            - Parks & Recreation Director Jason Crum stated I just wanted to make sure everybody picked up on the demo piece of that though.
              - Councilmember Majure replied I did not.
    - Parks & Recreation Director Jason Crum stated well if we put that loop in, we've got to demo out Field 3.

- Councilmember Garvey responded the elevation changes.
  - Parks & Recreation Director Jason Crum stated well I am just talking about removing, the short fence isn't that big of deal but the backstops, those type of things. Those would have to go. If you guys remember right, the ballfield see where it says baseball field there at the bottom, that stays.
- Councilmember Majure stated see where he's got the red line now. That is kind of what I was talking about the walking trail.
  - Mayor McNeill stated I mean it would have to go anyway.
  - Larry Reynolds replied oh ok, coming down and around.
- Councilmember Majure stated it continues all the way.
  - Parks & Recreation Director Jason Crum stated ultimately, it's best if it all goes away. Correct me if I am wrong, but there's not enough money to demo everything right off the bat.
    - Larry Reynolds replied right. I don't think so.
  - Councilmember Kirby asked come again.
    - Parks & Recreation Director Jason Crum replied I didn't think so. Just to make sure everybody understood there's not enough money to demo everything that is not going to be used right from the get-go. At least in this phase.
      - Larry Reynolds stated we're still looking into that. So, I am hearing it would be nice to include the loop trail as part of phase 1 if it is affordable. And with these two projects being separated and Bernard Park going out first that will be helpful to know once the bids come in on that project to know where we are at in relation to the City Park project. Here is a diagram to give you an idea where costs are in Bernard Park throughout. Some of the big-ticket items are sports field lighting and the restroom concessions building. Those items are our big-ticket ones. Some of the things we've been thinking about in terms of what alternates could we consider, what are some cost saver things we might need to consider. Parking lot we figured starting off, the Master Plan had put in for a hundred parking stalls. You need more than that with this. So that hundred parking stalls started out here and as we talked more and expanded that to accommodate all of which you really need, we felt like starting out that we could only do asphalt in just that center area. We need to do gravel in maybe the other two areas. Then we went back to its gravel everywhere and we can afford that and do everything. We have some kind of paved surface, but it really makes sense to include as part of the base bid this central area as asphalt, chip n seal for the other two sort of expansion areas. Then asked for an alternate add for asphalt of those expansion areas and a deduct to go to gravel if they need to as well. So, we have some flexibility to go up and down and we have pricing for those options. That is the direction we're headed. Are there any questions?

Councilmember Buehler moved to approve the design concept for City Park to include the loop trail in Phase 1. Councilmember Garvey seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.



**Equipment Replacement Requests:** Councilmember Brungardt moved to approve the requested items and authorize the purchase of up to \$114,000 of replacement equipment from the Equipment Reserve Fund. Councilmember Kirby seconded the motion.

- Councilmember Studnicka asked on the pickup truck for CED, is that also able to have a plow on it because usually all of our trucks are used as snowplows. Yes, no, don't need it.
  - Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied so the intent initially would be not to put a plow on it with a \$35,000 price.
    - Councilmember Studnicka responded alright.
      - Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz stated but we could certainly add one in the future if we decided to. What we are trying to do is get a half ton truck instead of a Ranger or something that size. Trying to get something a little bit bigger but not go to the level of a three-quarter ton which seems like a lot more money.
        - Councilmember Studnicka stated thank you.
          - Councilmember Majure asked wait. For which one, for the CED.
            - Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied for the CED truck.
              - Councilmember Majure stated that would be a smaller truck.
                - Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded it would be a half ton is what we're trying to get.
  - Councilmember Garvey asked we're going to try to shop local for these right.
    - Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied yes.
      - Councilmember Garvey responded because Chief is already getting his local right.
        - City Administrator Tim Vandall stated that is certainly the preference. I know we tried to reach out to find out if there was a ballpark estimate on Rams and we invited them to bid.
          - Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded that's in the ballpark. The price we put in there. I think we can get one for that price.
            - City Administrator Tim Vandall stated ok.
              - Councilmember Kirby asked Steve when you requested some of the local people didn't even.
                - Councilmember Garvey replied they did last time.
                  - Police Chief Steve Wayman responded last time I did, yeah. Both local did last time.
                - Councilmember Kirby stated there's been times where they haven't though.
              - Councilmember Garvey replied since they changed owners, they've started.
                - City Administrator Tim Vandall stated yeah, the last two years they've been bidding. They beat the state contract last time as well.
        - Mayor McNeill asked anything else on this one.

The motion was unanimously approved.

**Fence Request – 142 Woodland Road:** Councilmember Brungardt moved to approve the fence request for 142 Woodland Road. Councilmember Buehler seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

**Executive Session – Economic Development:** Councilmember Buehler moved to recess into executive session to review economic development activities pursuant to the discussion of confidential data relating to financial affairs or trade secrets of corporations, partnerships, trusts, and individual proprietorships exception K.S.A. 75-4319(B)(4) for 45 minutes, beginning at 7:38 PM and returning to the Council Chambers at 8:23 PM. Councilmember Garvey seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

Councilmember Buehler moved to return to Open Session at 8:23 PM. Councilmember Kirby seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

**REPORTS:**

**Department Heads:** Department Heads had nothing to report.

**City Attorney:** City Attorney Greg Robinson had nothing to report.

**City Administrator:** City Administrator Tim Vandall had nothing to report.

**Governing Body:** Councilmember Kirby stated the progress we are making on the parks is encouraging. Councilmember Majure stated he appreciates them coming in and presenting City Park. He is on board and ready to get this going. He is excited about the equipment replacement as well. He gave a shout out to first responders, Police Chief Steve Wayman, and the fire department. Covid is not going away as we lost another one so continue to practice safety.

Councilmember Garvey stated it is nice to see the cones gone at Main and Eisenhower. Traffic is flowing better. We really appreciate what our city employees do to move our city forward and he thanked them. He also thanked first responders and especially our police department. We need to stand behind our police department and first responders.

Councilmember Buehler thanked Jason and the Parks team for coming out. He publicly thanked Terri for helping him pick up his broken eggs at Dillons. He thanked Tim and said staff is doing a great job. He also provided a fun fact, on this day in 2008, Barack Obama became the first African American to be elected to President of the United States.

Councilmember Studnicka asked for the mayor to reach out to our local representative David French in regard to the intersection of Gilman and K7. We've had problems at the intersection along with fatalities so maybe Mr. French can generate something with either the State or KDOT to fix that intersection.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

Councilmember Studnicka moved to adjourn. Councilmember Kirby seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved. The meeting was adjourned at 8:27 p.m.

**ATTEST:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor, Anthony R. McNeill

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk, Sarah Bodensteiner, CMC