

### **CITY OF LANSING**

Council Chambers 800 1st Terrace

Lansing, KS 66043

**COUNCIL AGENDA** 

Regular Meeting Thursday, July 18, 2019 7:00 P.M.

#### WELCOME TO YOUR CITY COUNCIL MEETING

Regular meetings are held on the first and third Thursday of each month at 7 pm and are televised on Cable Television Channel 2 on Monday 7 pm, Tuesday 10 am & 7 pm, Friday 5 pm, Saturday 1 pm and Sunday 7 pm.

Any person wishing to address the City Council, simply proceed to the microphone in front of the dais after the agenda item has been introduced and wait to be recognized by the Mayor. When called upon, please begin by stating your name and address. A time designated "Audience Participation" is listed on the agenda for any matter that does not appear on this agenda. The Mayor will call for audience participation. Please be aware that the city council and staff may not have had advance notice of your topic and that the city council may not be able to provide a decision at the meeting. If you require any special assistance, please notify the City Clerk prior to the meeting.

Call To Order
Pledge of Allegiance
Roll Call

### **OLD BUSINESS:**

1. Approval of Minutes

### **NEW BUSINESS:**

**Audience Participation** 

#### **Presentations**

### Council Consideration of Agenda Items:

- 2. Treasurer's Report for Quarter Ending June 30, 2019
- 3. Conditional Use Permit Request Apiary 125 Rock Creek Loop
- 4. Executive Session Non-Elected Personnel

### Reports:

Department Heads: City Attorney; City Engineer; City Administrator; Councilmembers

### **Proclamations**

#### Other Items of Interest:

- Monthly Department Vehicle and Equipment Mileage Reports
- Community & Economic Development Permits/Licenses & Code Enforcement Report

### Adjournment

# **AGENDA ITEM**

TO:

Tim Vandall, City Administrator

THRU:

Sarah Bodensteiner, City Clerk

FROM:

Shantel Scrogin, Assistant City Clerk

DATE:

July 9, 2019

SUBJECT:

Approval of Minutes

The Regular Meeting Minutes for July 2, 2019 are enclosed for your review.

Action: Staff recommends a motion to approve the Regular Meeting Minutes for July 2, 2019 as presented.

### CITY OF LANSING

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
July 2, 2019

#### Call To Order:

The regular meeting of the Lansing City Council was called to order by Mayor Mike Smith at 7:00 p.m.

### Roll Call:

Mayor Mike Smith called the roll and indicated which Councilmembers were in attendance.

### **Councilmembers Present:**

Ward 1: Gene Kirby and Dave TrinkleWard 2: Andi Pawlowski and Don Studnicka

Ward 3: Jesse Garvey

Ward 4: Gregg Buehler and Tony McNeill

Councilmembers Absent: Kerry Brungardt

### **OLD BUSINESS:**

**Approval of Minutes:** Councilmember Kirby moved to approve the regular meeting minutes of June 20, 2019 as presented. Councilmember Garvey seconded the motion.

- Councilmember McNeill stated hey Sarah on the Councilmembers present, that needs corrected right.
  - City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner replied yeah, I saw that, I will get it amended.
    - Councilmember Kirby state what.
      - City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner replied you guys threw me off a little.
        - o Councilmember Pawlowski asked so we need them amended.
          - Councilmember Buehler stated yes, approve them as amended.
            - Councilmember Pawlowski stated so unmake your motion.

Councilmember Garvey withdrew his second. Councilmember Kirby withdrew his motion.

Councilmember Pawlowski moved to approve the regular meeting minutes of June 20, 2019, as amended. Councilmember Garvey seconded the motion. The motion was approved with Councilmember Studnicka and Councilmember Buehler abstaining from the vote.

**Audience Participation:** Mayor Smith called for audience participation and there was none. **Presentations** 

### **COUNCIL CONSIDERATION OF AGENDA ITEMS:**

**Fence Request – 690 Creekside Place:** Councilmember Trinkle moved to approve the fence request for 690 Creekside Place. Councilmember McNeill seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

**Final Plat – Homestead Acres:** Councilmember Trinkle moved to approve the final plat for Homestead Acres. Councilmember Buehler seconded the motion.

- Councilmember Kirby stated Matt, yeah, I do.
  - Mayor Smith replied questions, go ahead Matt.
    - Councilmember Kirby said state your name, address and serial number please. I know we discussed earlier about road fees. They should or should not apply here.
      - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz asked are you asking for my opinion or are you asking for what the regulations say.
        - Councilmember Kirby responded alright let's go with what the regulations say.
          - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied yes.

- Councilmember Kirby responded ok. So, these three houses will all face Mt Calvary Road.
  - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied correct.
    - Councilmember Kirby asked and the remaining property.
  - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz stated the remaining ten acres will remain un-platted as it is today. They could be developed at some point in the future.
- Councilmember Pawlowski asked they could build one house on it.
- Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz stated they could.
- Councilmember Kirby asked is there going to be a need for a road to go through there at some point or are we going to end up paying for a road down the road. That was by accident.
- Councilmember Trinkle stated you made a funny.
- Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded whether or not the road extends I think is really up to the governing body to decide what they would like to see there. The Planning Commission has reviewed it, they don't feel like there is a reason to stop the development in order to obtain a road through there. Staff, myself, Mr. Vandall, we meet with the developers when they first came in and started looking at this. Mt Calvary is a collector, this road Sycamore I believe it is that would go through there is not a collector, that's a local street. We have cul-de-sac's on local streets and dead ends. That's a normal function of planning. If it was a collector street then the answer would be unequivocally ves it needs to extend, but the future traffic or the transportation plan would show a collector street a little bit further to the south of Sycamore coming across some of that other property that hasn't been developed yet to intersect with Mt Calvary. Mt Calvary is a collector or would be developed to that standard.
- Councilmember Kirby stated well, alright. Now, I'll take your opinion.
- Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied based on my experience, perimeter street fees are generally collected when there is a subdivision going in that has public improvements in it, meaning they are going to build a street inside the subdivision and we want to make sure or a city wants to make sure that when that street is built, the streets that intersect are also improved. In this case, there is no public street being built, there is no infrastructure being installed in order to service these three homes. So that puts a question in my mind as to whether they are really necessary or not but it's not my place to decide that either.
  - Councilmember Kirby stated I'll just in general make a comment. We talk about this every time. Somebody wants something waived. Are we going to do it or not going to do it. Are we going to do it for this guy or we going to do it for that guy. If we do it for this guy, we got to do it for that guy kind of a thing which I'm just, this isn't directed at you.
    - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied understood.
      - Councilmember Kirby stated just a comment that I'm going to throw out there. So, I'm fine, thank you.
        - Mayor Smith responded thank you Gene. Any others? Andi.
- Councilmember Pawlowski stated it appears to me. Well, first of all, when we ran the sewer line and dead ended it near Sycamore Ridge Dr, the intention of that was so that when development happened to the west, we'd be able to service it. Of course, we'd have to run the sewer that whole way to get there. When I first saw this come up, I was kind of excited because I thought we're going to have a road to get because I mean, honest to God, you can only go west in Lansing on Eisenhower or 4-H Road, there's nothing in between, well hillside but it goes back out to 4-H so it doesn't go anywhere. And then when I saw the plat I was frankly, pretty disappointed just personally.

But it looks like they developed three lots so they can get the most bang for their buck which is fine. I wouldn't blame them for that, but it seems to me like the city has an interest here too. So, my personal feeling is I don't like to waive fees. I'm not completely thrilled with this plat but that's not my decision by itself. So, I'm with Gene, if it's part of our code I think we need to follow it. Do you have an opinion?

- o Councilmember Garvey asked so you wanted a shortcut, that's what you wanted, to get through.
  - Councilmember Pawlowski replied well it's not just a shortcut, it's the only cut.
    - Councilmember Garvey responded but there's not going to be a road, any road improvements here at all to the subdivision. So, I mean, but you're going to charge a fee for something we're not going to do.
      - Councilmember Pawlowski stated well we are going to do that at some point. We're going to do that road so who is going to pay for that, us. Everybody else in the city will end up paying for it.
        - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied right so to clarify, the perimeter street fees that would be collected for this would be to improve Mt Calvary Road.
          - Councilmember Garvey stated ok, gotcha.
            - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz stated they would not be to put a connector in or to connect Sycamore. It would just be for the improvements for Mt Calvary.
- Mayor Smith asked Tony did you have something.
- City Attorney Greg Robinson asked we still have to pay for half.
  - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded yeah so, the street fees we calculated are based on half. The property owners on that side get assessed, for lack of a better term, half of the street fees.
- Mayor Smith stated Matt I think Tony had.
  - Councilmember McNeill asked so if they go the other direction with the rest of the acreage and decide to put homes on there and extend that road out, cul-de-sac or whatever you would do there, then they would pay the perimeter street fee to help put in that cul-de-sac.
    - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded they would have to pay the cost to install the cul-de-sac but there wouldn't be any perimeter street fees because there aren't any perimeter streets that front the property on that side.
      - Councilmember Pawlowski replied but the way this is drawn up they could put, tell me if I am wrong, they could stick one house on that remaining acreage and not pay any fee.
        - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded that is correct.
          - Councilmember Garvey stated I guess when I think of the street fee, I think about if you're putting in a subdivision you're going to improve, add a bunch of traffic, a lot of excessive amount of traffic which is going to impact the street. This is three houses.
            - Councilmember Pawlowski responded that wasn't the intention of the ordinance though.
              - Councilmember Garvey stated but that is what I think about.
                - Councilmember McNeill stated really the only thing I got that I don't like about it. I mean it's not my property either. For long range city

planning, this doesn't really make sense to dead end that acreage there when we have a planned road that was going to connect that road. We'd have future sewer going in there so we could service. I mean. in that ten acres, you could put how many houses if you put a road through there.

- Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded you can figure three homes per acre on small
- Councilmember McNeill replied really, you'd have a pretty large development there.
- Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded it just depends on how you structure it. Then you got to take the road out too.
- Councilmember McNeill stated then you have the rocks at that one end, it kind of ends that development. There's nothing that's going to go through there. That's the only thing I think for a long-range planning, it doesn't make a ton of sense.
- Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz stated that's what makes this hard. You're balancing development today versus long term planning for the city.
- Councilmember McNeill asked we don't have cost for what that sewer extension but it is in the Master Plan.
  - Wastewater Utility Director Tony Zell responded I do not have cost this evening but the Sewer Master Plan does show a line extending to the west up Sycamore Ridge Dr and dead heading at what would be the east property line of this fifteen acres or whatever but I don't have those costs with me this evening. And the three lots that are being built are on the fringe of the seven-mile water shed boundaries. So, there is a ridge there that breaks, where it would naturally flow to the west versus flowing to the east.
    - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied it runs down the middle of the seventeen acre track roughly.
      - Councilmember Pawlowski stated Sycamore Ridge on the other side flows.
        - Wastewater Utility Director Tony Zell replied correct, it flows to the west.
- Mayor Smith asked Gregg, did you have something.
  - Councilmember Buehler asked I may have missed it, have they asked for these fees to be waived or are we just, I mean, I don't see it in there but like I said maybe I missed it.
    - Joe Herring of 315 North 5th St stated Mayor and Council, yes absolutely we are asking for these fees to be waived. It wasn't brought up until the last meeting we had with the Planning Commission that these fees could possibly be considered by this Council. We were unaware at the pre-application meeting in all fairness. We did look at improving a road through there. We're coming from a chip fill or maybe asphalt ditched road going to another ditched road extends into a third ditched road. To look into feasibly to do that, and have the risk of having to put in curb, gutter, sewer and storm sewer, you're looking at upwards \$425,000 just for that fee and that doesn't include the city's portion of taking that extra quarter of a mile of sewer from Sycamore Ridge, the beginning of Sycamore Ridge and extending it west to the property. That sewer wasn't there, if that sewer manhole had been built. I probably wouldn't have this conversation with you right now because the hook up would be mandatory. But the sewer line is not built. I don't know how far it is to be built but it's just 18 acres out of the Master Plan. That's for connectivity the Comp Plan which approved Holiday Hills, Mary, Ida are all going to extend west, are going to be your main collectors to

the roads going west. So, this road is like Matt said, it is a local road to do that and is the city going to pay for that sewer, that first quarter mile.

- Councilmember Pawlowski replied ves. We pay for all the rest of them.
  - Joe Herring responded alright. So that was the question. These are all questions that we had at the development meeting. There were a bunch of it's. When it came down to it there's some easements that define the property, all the roads out there are, you know, ditched roads, no sanitary sewer, no sewer. It doesn't stop development in any way shape or form of the city in the future. It just stops this track from being developed but it is their track. We are definitely asking to waive the fees and approve the plat as is. They've owned the property for 63 years, it's been farm ground for 63 years plus it was farm ground before that. We'd just like to sell their ground in this manner.
    - Councilmember Garvey responded thank you.
      - Joe Herring replied thank you for your time.
- Mayor Smith asked any other questions for Matt. We have a motion to second, Sarah, on this.
  - City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner responded we do.
    - Councilmember Pawlowski asked what exactly is the motion.
      - City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner stated the motion is to approve the final plat for Homestead Acres.
        - Councilmember Pawlowski asked and how does it deal with the fees. Does that deal with the fees?
          - City Administrator Tim Vandall responded that wasn't part of the motion.
            - Councilmember McNeill replied the plat, I mean the fees not included.
              - Councilmember Buehler asked so would that be two separate motions then or would they have to come back and request a formal waiver for that.
                - Councilmember McNeill responded I want to say they're requesting the fee be waived.
              - City Administrator Tim Vandall stated we need that in a separate motion.
            - Councilmember Pawlowski asked so we have a motion on the table.
          - Councilmember Buehler asked so we have a motion to approve the final plat.
        - Councilmember McNeill stated let's make sure we understand with the fee situation is before we vote.
      - Councilmember Kirby responded I think we should deal with that first.
    - Councilmember McNeill replied there is no fee because they're not putting a road in.
  - Councilmember Buehler responded we need to put a road in. The fee is?
- Councilmember Pawlowski replied for the underdeveloped road in the front.
  - Councilmember Buehler stated to help improve the other road, Mt Calvary Road, that is what the fee will be used for.
    - Councilmember McNeill stated I mean it's going to turn right off the road kind of like DeSoto into the houses, right, a driveway. So, I mean, what are we talking about.
      - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded so the fees we talked about, the perimeter street fees would be half the costs to improve Mt Calvary Road where those three homes sites. those two in a half acre tracks front Mt Calvary.

- Councilmember Pawlowski replied so the theory is you put that money in a fund and when Mt Calvary is developed you have whatever figure this was that we have because isn't this the same code we've had for twenty years or fifteen years. We never change that fee. It's a per foot fee.
  - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded fees haven't been updated since 2012.
    - Councilmember Pawlowski replied right, so, but it's a per foot fee and it goes into Beth's magical little box of money and it just sits there until we develop Mt Calvary Road. That's the theory behind it, we charge that.
      - Councilmember McNeill asked so we charged for that development, the new one, the Willows, Maples/Willows, those three homes that face DeSoto Rd.
        - Councilmember Pawlowski responded I don't know. They put all the streets in.
      - Councilmember McNeill replied you're on the Council man, come on.
    - Councilmember Pawlowski responded they put all those streets in.
  - Councilmember Buehler asked so when Domann built those three houses on DeSoto right down at the end of Holiday and there's those three houses that as you go up north towards Eisenhower, did they pay perimeter street fees for DeSoto Rd. That's what he is asking.
- Councilmember Pawlowski replied and that I don't know. That would have been in the development agreement, right?
- Councilmember McNeill stated that would be a similar comparison.
- City Administrator Tim Vandall stated the statement earlier that there is some separate fund for it, if there was money put away for that it's not, it wasn't put into a separate fund. I'm not aware of any type.
- o Councilmember Buehler asked there's not a perimeter street fee fund.
- Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded not that I am aware of.
  - o City Administrator Tim Vandall replied no.
    - Councilmember Pawlowski responded there is somewhere.
      - Mayor Smith asked ok, back to your question Tony and Gene. Should we have the fee waiver going first? Tim. How should we march with this?
        - City Administrator Tim Vandall stated we already have a motion on the floor for the plat. So, I would say if there is a motion for the fee waiver that would go second.

The motion was unanimously approved.

- Mayor Smith asked ok, do we want to address that now Tim.
  - City Administrator Tim Vandall responded it's up to the governing body.
    - Councilmember Buehler responded do we want a formal, in writing request.

Councilmember Studnicka moved to waive the perimeter street fee for Homestead Acres. Councilmember Trinkle seconded the motion.

Councilmember Pawlowski asked are we going to do that from now on. Should we just take it out of our code. Because I think we should if we're not going to, if we're never going to do it, we just need to take it out of our code.

- Councilmember Studnicka responded Matt just said they are going to pay part of that fee to improve the road in front of those three houses.
  - Councilmember Pawlowski replied no he didn't.
    - Councilmember Studnicka responded he just said it.
      - Councilmember Buehler stated that is what the fee we collect is for.
        - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz stated if the governing body votes to waive the fee then they would not pay anything to help improve Mt Calvary. If you vote to keep the fee in place, then they would have to pay approximately \$114,000 and some change towards improving Mt Calvary. That money would then go to, I believe, the general fund.
- Councilmember McNeill asked so for three frontages, \$30k.
  - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded so it's calculated, it's 597.12 feet, that's how long those three frontages are. They're 199 and some change on each one. So that is the total length and then your fee is calculated based on that so because that is classified as a collector which would have a sidewalk on it on one side, the fee is \$114,049.92.
    - Councilmember Pawlowski asked is there a different fee if it's not a collector.
      - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied yes if it was a local street with a sidewalk the fee would be \$97,330.56.
- Mayor Smith stated ok we got a motion to second. Anymore? Go ahead Andi.
  - Councilmember Pawlowksi stated I think we need to consider this. I think we need to think about this a little bit and maybe do a little investigation on historical and I think it's time we make a decision about this because I am tired of waving it. If we waive it, you know the same thing with the park fees, if we're going to keep waiving the stupid things then just take them off the books. But by the same token, we can't keep complaining about not having money to do any of the streets, if we keep waiving all the fees.
    - Councilmember McNeill responded but you could also say, where does the money go. It's like ok, we collected it from somebody somewhere but if that road isn't improved forever and we're improving other roads because those are more priority, then you're just back in the same position.
      - Councilmember Pawlowski stated we collected street fees from a guy building duplexes and told him at the meeting we would never improve the street but we were going to collect the street fees from him anyway.
        - Councilmember McNeill replied that doesn't sound right.
          - Councilmember Pawlowski responded well that is what we did.
            - Councilmember McNeill replied no, I'm just saying, it doesn't make sense.
              - Councilmember Buehler stated but then again, I see Andi's point because at the same time, the next person says well you waived their fees so why don't you waive our fees. You waived their park fees, why don't you waive our park fees. So, then we don't get anything for the parks and we don't get anything for the streets and then we complain that we don't have money to put curbs and gutters and stuff on streets in certain areas.
                - Councilmember McNeill responded you kind of have to look at when has that road been last improved. Is there a sidewalk on that road.

- Councilmember Buehler replied see we don't know any of that stuff.
- Councilmember McNeill responded is there a sidewalk on the collector street.
- Councilmember Pawlowksi replied it hasn't been in the city that long.
- Councilmember McNeill responded there's not.
- Councilmember Buehler replied there's no sidewalk there.
- Councilmember Pawlowski stated it hasn't been a city street for that long. It was part of the annexation.
- Councilmember McNeill responded what are you talking about, it's been there since I've been here. 15 years, that's quite awhile.
- City Administrator Tim Vandall stated if you guys want, staff can investigate this a little bit more since I feel like we kind of have questions from you guys about this now.
  - Councilmember Buehler stated I'm really, Tony's point I agree with, the three houses on 147th going north towards Eisenhower, if those were, if we collected those streets fees from Domann and those guys when they built that.
    - Mayor Smith responded I don't believe so but we need to check.
      - City Administrator Tim Vandall replied I can look into that. If we did, it wasn't put into a separate escrow fund.
        - Mayor Smith stated I don't think it was.
          - Councilmember Trinkle stated I don't ever remember talking about it.
            - Councilmember Garvey stated right now they're building the houses where we do lot split out in the county on the way to Bernard Park. They're putting in three or four houses along there. They're probably not paying any fees for that county road there at all, are they. That's not in the city limits of Lansing.
              - Councilmember Pawlowski responded because they are in the county.
                - City Administrator Tim Vandall replied that's not in the city limits. I don't know what their fee schedule
              - Councilmember Trinkle stated oh they are paving for it.
            - Mayor Smith responded one way or another. Ok, we got a motion to second. Do we want to withdraw or what do you folks want to do. We can vote this one down.
          - Councilmember Studnicka stated I withdraw my motion.
        - Councilmember Pawlowski stated I move we table.
      - Councilmember Garvey responded he has to withdraw his second first.
    - City Clerk Sarah Bodensteiner replied yeah, he needs to withdraw the second.
  - o Councilmember Garvey responded withdraw your second Dave.
- Councilmember Trinkle stated I guess if that's what the Council wants to talk about some more. I just assumed, we're not building a subdivision. We're building three houses.

Councilmember Trinkle withdrew his second Councilmember Studnicka withdrew his motion.

Councilmember Garvey stated I guess my question to is, if they had done a lot spilt of this right, and said ok I'm going to build a house here. A year later, build a house here and a year later build a house here, we wouldn't be talking about this, would we.

- o Councilmember Pawlowski responded they can't do that.
  - Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied but you can only do a lot split on a property one time. Same way if, under the new Unified Development Ordinance, they would come in and do what's called an Administrative Plat. They get one shot at that for that piece of property. So, if they came in and did this under Administrative Plat, they could have done this, we wouldn't have even had this discussion. There's no public improvements. It meets the qualifiers but because this was started before the UDO was passed, it came through the whole process. Plus, I kind of had a feeling there was going to be this discussion so I wasn't willing to take that and approve it.

Councilmember Pawlowski moved to table the discussion on the perimeter street fee until further information is provided. Councilmember Buehler seconded the motion.

The motion was approved with Councilmember McNeill, Councilmember Studnicka and Councilmember Trinkle voting against the motion.

- City Administrator Tim Vandall stated we'll research the questions you guys asked and bring it back.
  - Mayor Smith stated we'll address that again.

Structure Removal Cost Share Policy Update: Councilmember Kirby moved to approve the Structure Removal Cost Share Policy. Councilmember McNeill seconded the motion.

- Councilmember Kirby stated it's been a great benefit for Ward 1.
  - Mayor Smith replied ves it has.
    - Councilmember Kirby stated several new houses are going up because of this. They improve the area. The tax base is working.
      - Councilmember McNeill asked basically extending the policy, right.
        - Mayor Smith responded yes.
          - Councilmember Kirby stated has worked real well.
            - Councilmember McNeill stated extending the
              - City Administrator Tim Vandall stated its money already allotted for.
                - Councilmember Kirby responded Morgan Street, Eisenhower.
              - Mayor Smith stated it's worked well.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Structure Removal Cost Share Request - 124 E. Kansas Ave: Councilmember McNeill moved to approve the structure removal cost share application with the bid from Linaweaver Construction for the accessory structure located as 124 East Kansas Avenue. Councilmember Buehler seconded the motion.

- Councilmember Kirby asked looking at these pictures here. Is this a house?
  - City Inspector Becky Savidge replied no it's an accessory structure. It was a two-car garage.
    - Councilmember Studnicka stated it's by the Activity Center isn't it.
      - Councilmember McNeill responded it's in the big, you get a better picture in that big packet they had.
        - Councilmember Pawlowski asked that's the one that the house was a repo.
          - City Inspector Becky Savidge replied no. It's right next to it.
            - Councilmember Kirby asked but there is a house on it.
              - City Inspector Becky Savidge responded ves, and the property owner is here.

- Councilmember Kirby asked so what they are asking for is to do a separate structure other than the actual living quarters.
- City Inspector Becky Savidge replied
- Councilmember Pawlowski asked and that's okay with our policy.
- City Inspector Becky Savidge stated yes.
- Councilmember Kirby replied I'm good.
- Mayor Smith responded I'm good with it.

The motion was unanimously approved.

Executive Session - Acquisition of Real Estate: Councilmember Buehler moved to recess into executive session to discuss land acquisition pursuant to the acquisition of real estate exception K.S.A. 75-4319(b)(6) for 15 minutes, beginning at 7:28 p.m. and returning to the Council Chambers at 7:43 p.m. Councilmember Pawlowski seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

Councilmember Kirby moved to return to open session at 7:43 p.m. Councilmember Trinkle seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

### **REPORTS:**

Department Heads: Department Heads had nothing to report.

City Attorney: City Attorney had nothing to report.

City Engineer: City Engineer Matt Harding wished everyone a Happy 4th of July.

City Administrator: City Administrator Tim Vandall had nothing to report.

Governing Body: Councilmember Pawlowski, Councilmember Garvey, Councilmember McNeill,

Councilmember Trinkle and Councilmember Kirby wished everyone a Happy 4th of July.

Councilmember Buehler commented a great job was done on the fireworks and provided a fun fact, on this day in 1964 Lyndon B Johnson signed the Civil Rights Act.

Councilmember Studnicka asked why the fireworks started at 10:30pm.

- Councilmember Garvey commented technical difficulties.
  - Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz stated it was suppose to start at 10:00pm. The show was completely computer controlled. 10% was not accepted by the computer so it was delayed 20 minutes to ensure we wouldn't lose that 10% of the show.
    - Councilmember Studnicka suggested in the future we plan to start around 9:30pm. He has gotten a lot of calls about it starting so late and also noticed several families with small children left early because it started so late and didn't get to see the show.

Councilmember Studnicka asked the show was still 30 minutes like normal but we were suppose to get extra because the fireworks at Lansing Daze was cancelled.

- Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz replied there was extra included that wouldn't have been there without the money from Lansing Daze.
  - o Councilmember Trinkle stated same length just more stuff.
    - Councilmember Studnicka stated he was not pleased with the show. He thought we had put on better shows in the past compared to this year.
      - Councilmember Garvey replied they did post of Facebook on why the show was late. They did a good job informing people why it was late.
        - Councilmember McNeill responded even scheduling it for 10:00pm is late. People bring the kids at 5 and they ride the rides, play games. they are tired and then they wait and wait for the fireworks. As soon as it gets dark, we should start shooting them off.
          - Mayor Smith stated he knows Matt had no control over the computer and he noticed there were some new things added

into the show. Next year the Friday before will be July 3rd so maybe not as many complaints.

- Councilmember Kirby asked if the issue with the fireworks was announced at the show.
  - o Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz stated it was announced by the DJ that there would be a 15-20 minute delay.
    - Councilmember Kirby stated good because he did see a number of people leave but he also read it was a great show so he just wanted to make sure it was announced.
  - o Mayor Smith commented things happen.
- Community & Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz responded he does want feedback so they can improve for next year.

Councilmember Trinkle also provided a fun fact, the total weight of bacteria living in the human body is 4.4lbs.

### ADJOURNMENT:

Councilmember Kirby moved to adjourn. Councilmember Pawlowski seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved. The meeting was adjourned at 7:49 p.m.

ATTEST:	Michael W. Smith, Mayor
Sarah Bodensteiner, City Clerk	

# **AGENDA ITEM**

TO: Tim Vandall, City Administrator

FROM: Elizabeth Sanford, Director of Finance

DATE: July 12, 2019

SUBJECT: Treasurer's Report for Quarter Ending June 30, 2019

Please find the Treasurer's Report for the second quarter (April through June 30, 2019) attached for your review. This report is published quarterly in accordance with KSA 12-1608, 12-1609.

### Action:

Staff recommends a motion to approve the Treasurer's Report for the quarter ending June 30, 2019 as presented.

# CITY OF LANSING TREASURER'S REPORT - QUARTER ENDING JUNE 30, 2019

Fund Name	Fund Number	Unencumbered Cash Balance 3/31/19 DR / (CR)	Receipts	Disbursements	Audit Adj. & Net Encumb. Liquidated 6/30/19	Unencumbered Fund Balance 6/30/19 DR / (CR)	Add Reserves, Account Payable, and Encumbrances	Subtract Receivables, Other Assets, and Net Fixed Assets	Treasurer's Cash Balance 6/30/19
General:	10	2,626,579.90	1,796,720.97	1,667,506.68	0.00	2,755,794.19	192,996.54	17,133.46	2,931,657.27
Special Revenue:									
Library	20	169,386.98	115,713.44	73,859.83	0.00	211,240.59	8,445.12	0.00	219,685.70
Consolidated Street & Highway	22	294,094.30	120,290.44	131,019.92	0.00	283,364.82	8,721.65	0.00	292,086.48
Special Parks & Recreation	23	287,386.44	27,694.45	99,790.72	0.00	215,290.17	0.00	0.00	215,290.17
Special Alcoholic Liquor	24	22,735.48	4,411.10	95.00	0.00	27,051.58	0.00	0.00	27,051.58
Sales Tax (\$.45) Fund	26	383,043.31	110,179.04	109,239.90	0.00	383,982.45	0.00	0.00	383,982.45
KS Regional Prisons Museum	62	139,799.18	1,607.42	0.00	0.00	141,406.60	0.00	0.00	141,406.60
Hillbrook Subdivision Escrow	66	(725.00)	0.00	0.00	(725.00)	0.00	17,935.40	0.00	17,935.40
Agency:		ا أحدث بصورها			التأجيلي والتار				
Bonds Posted	41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12,518.36	0.00	12,518.36
Park Land Trust	59	16,523.62	0.00	16,500.00	0.00	23.62	0.00	0.00	23.62
Mayor's Christmas	61	13,975.68	132.00	0.00	0.00	14,107.68	0.00	0.00	14,107.68
Transient Guest Tax	63	25,569.94	115,730.75	71,233.37	0.00	70,067.32	0.00	0.00	70,067.32
Facilities Renovation	73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Debt Service:						Literature III.			
Bond & Interest	40	1,571,446.71	1,077,634.20	0.00	0.00	2,649,080.91	0.00	0.00	2,649,080.91
Enterprise:									
Wastewater Utility	50	13,814,469.58	650,637.64	850,702.52	(10,986.33)	13,625,391.03	256,321.77	12,035,705.40	1,846,007.39
Solid Waste Utility	51	572,326.13	145,327.01	164,214.07	0.00	553,439.07	0.00	329,926.70	223,512.37
Investment Fixed Assets	90	3,992,316.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,992,316.82	0.00	3,992,316.82	0.00
Capital Projects:		Mark of the state of							
Capital Projects Fund	70	1,109,294.47	352,693.83	68,941.96	0.00	1,393,046.34	0.00	0.00	1,393,046.36
Equipment Reserve Fund	80	98,293.80	25,271.47	38,874.08	0.00	84,691.19	0.00	0.00	84,691.19
Police Equipment Reserve Fund	45	64,764.37	4,090.00	20,005.00	0.00	48,849.37	0.00	0.00	48,849.37
Desoto Road Project Fund	84	420,484.66	0.00	65,355.50	0.00	355,129.16	0.00	0.00	355,129.16
Sewer Improvement Project	85	2,104,637.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,104,637.79	0.00	0.00	2,104,637.79
		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total All Funds		27,726,404.16	4,548,133.76	3,377,338.55	(11,711.33)	28,908,910.70	496,938.84	16,375,082.38	13,030,767.17

	SIAIEMEN	T OF BOND IN	DEDIEDNESS			
		General C	bligation	Waste Water		
Bonds	Improvement		Revolving Loans	Revenue		Total
Outstanding as of 4/1/19	\$	28,325,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$	28,325,000
Retired		-	-	-	\$	-
Issued	1	-	-	-	\$	-
Refunded		-	_		\$	
Outstanding as of 6/30/19	\$	28,325,000	\$ -	\$ -		28,325,000
Temporary Notes	\$	-			1	
Total Outstanding 6/30/19			The state of the s		\$	28,325,000

<sup>\*</sup>Published quarterly in accordance with KSA 12-1608, 12-1609 - Elizabeth Sanford, Director of Finance.

# **AGENDA ITEM**

TO: Tim Vandall, City Administrator

FROM: Matthew R. Schmitz, Director, Community & Economic Development

DATE: July 18, 2019

SUBJECT: Conditional Use Permit Application for 125 Rock Creek Loop, Lansing, Kansas

Explanation: John Bradford, owner of the property at 125 Rock Creek Loop, has applied for a conditional use permit to continue to have an apiary at his home, which is zoned R-1. The conditional use permit will allow the property owner to operate an apiary on his property under the new Unified Development Ordinance (UDO). Mr. Bradford previously operated the apiary at his home under an animal permit through the Police Department, but in May of 2018, a text amendment to the zoning regulations was approved by the City Council which allowed apiaries on R-1 and R-2 zoned residential properties as a hobby.

Under the UDO passed in April of 2019, apiaries are conditionally allowed in R-1 and R-2 zoning districts as a hobby, continuing the previous zoning allowance.

Inspection of the property was completed on June 11, 2019, by City Inspector Savidge, and she found no issues or concerns. A copy of the inspection e-mail is attached for your review.

The Planning Commission voted to recommend approval of this Conditional Use Permit at its June 19, 2019 meeting with a 5-0 vote. The minutes from that meeting and the Conditional Use Permit checklist are included for your review.

Policy Considerations: None.

Action: Approve, approve with conditions, remand back to Planning Commission, or deny with a super majority vote of the governing body. Staff recommends a motion to approve the request for the conditional use permit for 125 Rock Creek Loop for a period not to exceed five years.

## **CONDITIONAL USE CHECKLIST**

		Checklist Completed by Conditional Use Case Notices Sent: May 13, 201 Date Notices Sent: May Public Hearing Date: June 19, 201 June 19,	lo. <u>2019-4</u> <u>9</u> 24, 2019 / 24, 2019	3
l.	Applicant's Name: John	n Bradford		
	Applicant's Authorized	Agent: n/a		
II.	Information in Applicat	ion Correct? Yes 🛛 No		
	If no, explain:			
III.	Adjacent Zoning and La	and Use:		
	Direction	Land Use	Zoning	
	North South East West	Parkland/Commercial Single Family Dwelling Single Family Dwelling Single Family Dwelling	B-3 R-1 R-1 R-1	
IV.	Present Use of Property	y: The property is a large lot	single family dwelling.	
V.		sted: Request a conditional u  /) use in the R-1 zoning for wh		
VI.	The proposed condition  A. The proposed conditions these regulations, incluand use limitations, unlinese regulations. Apia	elopment Ordinance Section nal use does or does not me itional use complies with all iding intensity of use regula less specifically exempted b uries are allowed in R-1 with a bugh that it meets the requiren	et the standards:  applicable provisions of tions, yard regulations, by the provisions of Conditional Use Permit.	
		itional use at the specified love or convenience of the publication of the publication in the area.		
	value of other property Apiaries in use in resider	itional use will not cause su in the neighborhood in whi ital districts generally have do npact surrounding properties.	ch it is to be located.	

D. The location and size of the conditional use, the nature and the operation involved in or conducted in connection with it, location of the site with respect to streets giving access to it the conditional use will not dominate the immediate neighbor prevent development and use of neighboring property in accept the applicable zoning district regulations. In determining who conditional use will so dominate the immediate neighborhood consideration shall be given to the location, nature, and heighbuildings, structures, walls, and fences on the site; and the nextent of landscaping and screening on the site. The use of the an apiary is subsidiary and ancillary to the primary use as a reside It will have no effect on the surrounding properties in terms of domineighborhood.	and the are such that rhood so as to ordance with ether the d, ht of ature and ne property as ential dwelling.
E. Off-street parking and loading areas will be provided in acthe standards set forth in these regulations, and such areas screened from adjoining residential uses and located so as to residential uses from any injurious effect. N/A	shall be
F. Adequate utility, drainage, and other such necessary facili been or will be provided. $\underline{\text{N/A}}$	ities have Yes
G. Adequate access roads or entrance and exit drives will be shall be so designed to prevent traffic hazards and to minimiz congestion in public streets and alleys. $\underline{\text{N/A}}$	

### Matthew R. Schmitz

From: Rebecca Savidge

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 12, 2019 2:24 PM

To: Matthew R. Schmitz

**Subject:** Bee inspection -125 Rock Creek Loop

An inspection was completed on June 11, 2019 at 125 Rock Creek Drive. John Bradford has applied for a conditional use permit for hobby apiary. There currently is two bee boxes on the rear portion of the property about midway. One is on the east side and one on the west side. The complete rear of the property along the creek line has signs posted letting anyone in the area is notified there are bees on the private property. This is a renewal just the conditional use is bringing the property into compliance. There has never been any complaints received. It also have been checked with the Wendy Burr, Animal Control Officer and there have been no complaints through her on this property in any previous years

Rebecca Savidge City Inspector City of Lansing

Sent from my iPhone

Lansing Planning Commission Regular Meeting June 19, 2019

<u>Call to Order</u> — The monthly meeting of the Lansing Planning Commission was called to order by Chairman Ron Barry at 7:03 p.m. Also present were Vice-Chairman Kirsten Moreland, and Commissioners Marcus Bean, Nancy McDougal, and Jake Kowalewski. Commissioners Mike Suozzo and Jerry Gies were unable to attend. Chairman Barry noted that a quorum was present.

**Approval of Minutes, May 15, 2019, Regular Meeting** – Vice-Chairman Moreland made a motion to approve the minutes of the May 15, 2019, meeting, seconded by Commissioner Kowalewski. The motion passed, with Chairman Barry abstaining.

### Old Business - none

New Business: 1. Public Hearing – Conditional Use Permit – 125 Rock Creek Loop, Lansing, Kansas. Chairman Barry stated an application was submitted by John Bradford, property owner, that would allow an apiary at the property located at 125 Rock Creek Loop, Lansing, Kansas. He noted the property is zoned R-1, Suburban Residential.

Chairman Barry opened the public hearing at 7:04 p.m. Since there was no one in the audience who wished to speak, he closed the public hearing at 7:05 p.m. He noted this begins the 14-day protest period.

Chairman Barry then asked Commissioners to consider the checklist as a finding of fact. Since there were no questions or comments regarding the checklist, Commissioner Bean made a motion to approve the checklist as a finding of fact. The motion was seconded by Vice-Chairman Moreland and passed unanimously.

Chairman Barry stated Mr. Bradford has been operating this apiary for many years and no comments or complaints have been received by staff.

Chairman Barry then asked for a motion to recommend approval, approval with conditions, or disapproval the conditional use permit for 125 Rock Creek Loop. Commissioner Bean made a motion to recommend approval, seconded by Commissioner Kowalewski, and the motion was unanimously approved.

It was stated that, because of the requirement for a 14-day protest period and because the next City Council meeting was moved up from July 4 to July 2, this application will go before the Council at its July 18 meeting.

2. Final Plat – Homestead Acres – Sycamore Ridge Drive and Mt. Calvary Road, Lansing, Kansas. Chairman Barry stated an application was submitted by Joe Herring, acting as the agent for Elizabeth Heslop and Ray F. Jones, property owners, for a final plat for Homestead Acres, generally located at Sycamore Ridge Drive and Mt. Calvary Road, Lansing, Kansas. He noted the property is zoned R-1, Suburban Residential.

Chairman Barry asked members to consider the checklist as a finding of fact. Chairman Barry asked if staff had any issues that still needed to be addressed. Community and Economic Development Director Matthew Schmitz stated the plat was sent to the County Surveyor, Wayne Malnicof, for his review. He stated Mr. Malnicof had a couple of comments that were minor things that can be cleared up before the final plat is signed and filed. Mr. Schmitz also stated

Lansing Planning Commission Regular Meeting June 19, 2019

there were several items on the checklist marked "no" for which the explanation was given in the agenda memo. He stated the majority of these were also issues that can be taken care of before filing. One item on the checklist that Mr. Schmitz stated he intends to clean up is the requirement for the plat to be submitted on Mylar. He said since everything is filed and kept electronically now, there's really no need for that.

Mr. Schmitz also stated that he and City Engineer Matt Harding had talked about perimeter street fees on this property. He stated this is something staff will be asking the City Council to weigh in on, as perimeter street fees for this property would be approximately \$110,000. Chairman Barry asked for further explanation about this. Mr. Schmitz stated perimeter street fees are collected whenever a piece of ground is platted to put money away for future street construction. He stated there is 560' of frontage along Mt. Calvary Road that would need to have perimeter street fees collected on it, plus the fact that Mt. Calvary is a collector street, which would require sidewalk, etc. according to our standards. He noted that adds up to around \$110,000. Chairman Barry clarified this has no bearing on the final plat and Mr. Schmitz stated that was correct, that he brought it up for informational purposes only.

Chairman Barry next stated he thought on the preliminary plat there were some setback issues. Mr. Harding stated that the legal description shown includes the right of way, which is not owned by these property owners, but by the city or county. Mr. Harding said that if that is okay with the County Surveyor, it was okay with him. Mr. Schmitz stated the County Surveyor had no comment on it. Mr. Herring stated this property was in the county before it came into the city and that county descriptions include right of way, but the county doesn't go out and seek right of way like the cities do, so it is in the description that this plat will clean up that dedication to the city. He also stated the lot acreage shown does not include right of way, but the total acreage does.

Mr. Harding asked Mr. Herring about the 30' right of way that's being dedicated by these property owners and Mr. Herring said it was his understanding that the 30' right of way exists as an easement to Leavenworth County, so this will clean it up to where it's dedicated to the city and the city owns it fee simple. Mr. Schmitz said the difference between an easement used for road purposes and right of way is that the city owns right of way, whereas an easement used for the road is still owned by the property owners, but a piece of it is used by the county.

Since there were no other questions regarding the checklist, Chairman Barry entertained a motion to approve it as a finding of fact. Commissioner McDougal made the motion to approve, seconded by Vice-Chairman Moreland. The motion passed unanimously.

Chairman Barry then asked for a motion to recommend approval, approval with conditions, or disapproval the final plat for Homestead Acres. Commissioner McDougal made a motion to recommend approval of this final plat. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Kowalewski and was unanimously approved. It was stated this plat will go before the City Council at its July 2 meeting.

### Notices and Communications - none

Reports – Commission and Staff Members – Chairman Barry asked Mr. Schmitz about the funding for the Comprehensive Plan update and he stated he won't have a decision on that probably until August since the budget won't be approved until the end of July. Mr. Schmitz also

Lansing Planning Commission Regular Meeting June 19, 2019

stated the possibility of obtaining agenda software has been pushed back until probably the beginning of 2020.

Since there was no other business to discuss, Chairman Barry entertained a motion for adjournment. Vice-Chairman Moreland made a motion to adjourn. It was seconded by Commissioner McDougal and passed by acclamation. The meeting adjourned at 7:26 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Cynthia Tripp, secretary

Reviewed by,

MRS

Matthew R. Schmitz, Community and Economic Development Director

# **AGENDA ITEM**

TO: Tim Vandall, City Administrator

FROM: Sarah Bodensteiner, City Clerk

DATE: July 9, 2019

SUBJECT: Executive Session – Personnel Matters of Non-Elected Personnel

Executive Session will be called to discuss personnel matters of non-elected personnel.

### City Clerk's Office/Building Maintenance Vehicle and Equipment Report

### Vehicles

				Mileage	Mileage	Miles	
Year	Make	Model	Description	Start	Ending	Driven	Comments
2007	Ford	Econoline	15 Passenger Wagon	19502	19,727	225	
						0	
						0	
		ľ				0	
						0	
Total						225	

Equipment

				Hours	Hours	Hours	
Year	Make	Model	Description	Start	End	Used	Comments
2018	Advance	SC1500	AutoScrubber Floor Machine	18.09	21.9	3.81	Community Center Cleaning
2018	Kubota	ZG227-A	Mower	60	78.8	18.8	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
Total						22.61	

## Parks and Recreation Fleet Report June 2019

### Vehicles

				Mileage	Mileage	Miles		
Year	Make	Model	Description	Start	Ending	Driven	Current Use	Comments
2002	Ford	Explorer	SUV	128,642	128,642	0	Parks maintenance	
2011	Dodge	Charger	passenger car	77,630	77,726	96	Activity Center use	
2014	Ford	F-350	Dump truck	12458	12564	106	Parks maintenance	
2017	Chevrolet	Silverado	truck	10552	10887	335	Parks maintenance	
2018	Ford	F-350	4-dr crew	6900	7519	619	Parks maintenance	
Total		_	_			1,156		

Equipment

	.It							
				Hours	Hours	Hours		
Year	Make	Model	Description	Start	End	used	Current Use	Comments
1992	Massey Ferguson	1020	Tractor	1977	1977	0	Parks maintenance	
2005	Kubota	F3060	mower	286.1	287.2	1.1	Parks maintenance	
2007	Turbo Tool Cat	5600	utility vehicle	1065	in shop	n/a	Parks maintenance	not available *
2012	Wright	ZK	stander mower	1025.8	in shop	n/a	Parks maintenance	not available *
2014	Kubota	ZD331LP-72	mower	1290.3	1307	16.7	Parks maintenance	
2016	ABI	Force	infield groomer	166.8	184.5	17.7	Parks maintenance	
2017	Kubota	ZD1211	mower	392.7	411.2	18.5	Parks maintenance	
2018	Polaris	Ranger	utility vehicle	85.3	95.3	10	Parks maintenance	
2019	Exmark	LZ 72	mower	38.3	58	19.7	Parks maintenance	
2019	Emark	LZ 96	mower	28.3	43.2	14.9	Parks maintenance	
Total			***			*98.6		<u> </u>

Unit	Year	Make/Model	Last 5 VIN	_	J	Miles Driven	Current Use	Future Use	Comments
1	2013	Ford Explorer	40459	77605	78350	745	Detective	Detective	Limited Use - Detective
2	2012	Dodge Charger	07028	52476	53571	1095	Patrol	Patrol	Fit for patrol duty
3	2015	Ford Explorer	40975	54627	56125	1498	Patrol	Patrol	Fit for patrol duty
4	2015	Ford Explorer	40976	38501	39744	1243	Patrol	Patrol	Fit for patrol duty
5	2012	Dodge Charger	07027	39019	39250	231	Lieutenant	Lieutenant	Limited Use - Lieutenant
6	2019	Dodge Durango	85334	62	1864	1802	Sergeants	Sergeants	Limited Use - Sergeants
7	2018	Ford Explorer	34004	3991	4525	534	Captain	Captain	Limited Use - Captain
8a	2017	Dodge Charger	86270	34371	35833	1462	Patrol	Patrol	Down for repairs
9	2018	Ford Explorer	34003	12563	14929	2366	Patrol	Patrol	Fit for patrol duty
10	2011	Dodge Charger	52349	. 50072	51952	1880	SRO/Training	SRO/Training	Fit for SRO/Training
11	2003	Ford F150	64639	81839	82094	255	Animal Control	Animal Control	Fit for animal control duty
12	2019	Dodge Durango	85335	0	726	726	Chief	Chief	Limited Use - Chief
13a	2017	Dodge Charger	96163	34265	35636	1371	Patrol	Patrol	Fit for patrol duty
15	2018	Ford Explorer	34002	13342	14963	1621	Patrol	Patrol	Fit for patrol duty
17	2016	Dodge Charger	23367	28632	29881	1249	Patrol	Patrol	Fit for patrol duty

Mileage Total:

0

18078

# **Lansing Public Works Department**Monthly Fleet Report

### Vehicles

Year	Make	Model	Description	Mileage Starting	Mileage Ending	Miles Driven	Comments
2008	Ford	Ranger XLT	LT. Pick-up Ext	56,396	56,592	196	
2007	Ford	Ranger XLT	LT. Pick-up Ext	44,778	45,145	367	
1998	Ford	1/2 ton	Pick-up	65,227	65,475	248	
2005	Ford	Ranger	LT. Pick-up Ext	43,470	43,704	234	
2000	Ford	Explorer	SUV	189,583	189,583	0	City Hall - Purple Wave Item
2005	Sterling	LT 8500	Dump Truck	51,573	52,258	685	
2007	Elgin	Crosswind J+	Street Sweeper	6,156	6,174	18	_
1992	Ford	700	Dump Truck	64,002	64,002	0	
2017	Chevrolet	3500	Pick-up Truck	11,615	12,279	664	
2002	Ford	F350 4x4	Dump Truck	75,695	75,708	13	
2011	International	7400	Dump Truck	16,781	16,889	108	_
2016	Ford	F350 4x4	One-ton Dump Truck	9,260	9,646	386	
2006	Dodge	Charger	Sedan	124,026	124,253	227	
2013	Ford	Explorer	SUV	64,864	65,141	277	

Equipment

Year	Make	Model	Description	Hours Starting	Hours Ending	Hours Used	Comments
1997	JD	770BH	Grader	5,062	5,064	2	
2004	IR	DD-24	Asphalt Roller	274	274	0	
2006	IR	185	Air Compressor	198	198	0	
1993	Ford	5030	Tractor	523	523	0	Purple Wave Item
1997	Bobcat	763	Skid Steer	2,128	2,130	2	
2014	Case	580 SNWT	Backhoe	1,203	1,218	15	
2002	Crafco	110	Crack Sealer	808	808	0	
2003	Kubota	L3710	Tractor	1,602	1,607	5	
2009	Case	465	Skid Steer	614	617	3	
2018	John Deere	5065E	Tractor	7	30	23	

Jun-19

City Influent 42.71 MG City Avg Daily 1.42 MGD LCF Influent 16.05 MG LCF Daily Avg .535 MGD Total Biosolids 0.636 Precip 6.8 inches

### Vehicles

				Mileage	Mileage	Miles		
Year	Make	Model	Description	Start	Ending	Driven	Current Use	Comments
1999	Sterling	Vactor	Jet Truck	8201	8223	22	Collection System	
2002	Ford	350	Pick Up Truck	94630	94648	18	Ops/Maint.	
2012	Chevrolet	Tahoe	SUV	99575	100186	611	Ops/Maint.	
2005	Ford	550	Flatbed Truck	42957	42957	0	Ops/Maint.	
2019	Ford	F250	Pick Up Truck	1490	1618	128	Ops/Maint.	
2019	Ford	F250	Flatbed Truck	68	109	41	Ops/Maint.	New vehicle added to fleet 06/27/2019
2005	Freightliner	M2106	Dump Truck	20937	21869	932	Biosolids Disposal	
Total						1752	,	"

Equipment

Dempinon								
				Hours	Hours	Hours		
Year	Make	Model	Description	Start	Ending	Used	Current Use	Comments
1991	Case	1825	Uni-Loader	950	951	1	Plant Activities	
1999	Sterling	Vactor	Jet Truck	2245	2248	3	Collection System	
1999	Aries	Saturn III	Camera Trailer	344	344	0	Collection System	
2004	John Deere	7920	Tractor	1219	1219	0	Biosolids Disposal	unable to aerate sludge due to field flooding
2005	Polaris	Ranger #1	Utility Vehicle	1252	1265	13	Operations	
2004	Case	621D	Loader	2312	2315	3	Operations	
2005	Polaris	Ranger #2	Utility Vehicle	1253	1262	9	Maintenance	
2006	JCB	531-70	Telehandler	579	580	1	Plant Activities	

# COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PERMITS/LICENSES AND CODE ENFORCEMENT REPORT FOR JUNE

Tim Vandall, City Administrator

TO:

FROM: Matthew R. Schmitz, Director, Community and Economic Development DATE: July 1, 2019 PERMITS AND LICENSES: **Current Month** Year to Date Total valuation of residential and commercial construction and remodeling for which permits were issued \$74,273.65 \$1,920,064.12 Permit fees.......\$1.616.50......\$20.407.50 Total trade contractor licenses issued 4 96 **CODE ENFORCEMENT: Current Month** Year to Date Nuisance Report Three Day Warnings: 13 120 Certified Letters Sent: 0 0 Compliance: 9 94 Vehicle Report Warning Letters/Verbal: 5 27 Compliance: 2 14 Compliance Review: 3 20 Weeds Report Three Day Warnings: 31 194 Infiltration of Storm Water System Three Day Warnings: 0 0 Certified Letters Sent: 0 0 Compliance: 0 0 Additional Actions Violation Publications: 0 0 Citations: 0 0 Contracted for Work: 2 3